

April 15, 2019

Mr. Steve Hallam, Economic Development Manager  
City of Ceres  
2720 2<sup>nd</sup> Street  
Ceres, CA 95307-3292

Dear Mr. Hallam:

Subject: 2019-20 Annual Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule

Pursuant to Health and Safety Code (HSC) section 34177 (o) (1), the City of Ceres Successor Agency (Agency) submitted an annual Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule for the period of July 1, 2019 through June 30, 2020 (ROPS 19-20) to the California Department of Finance (Finance) on January 31, 2019. Finance has completed its review of the ROPS 19-20.

Based on a sample of line items reviewed and application of the law, Finance made the following determinations:

- Item No. 2 – 2006 Tax Allocation Bonds in the amount of \$2,644,846. The Agency requested \$540,432 from Redevelopment Property Tax Trust Fund (RPTTF) in error. According to the US Bank Debt Service Reserve Deficiency letter provided by the Agency, the amount requested for the July through December (ROPS 19-20A) period should be \$317,691. Therefore, to accurately reflect the amount needed to satisfy the reserve requirement, Finance made an adjustment in the amount of \$222,741 to decrease the total requested amount of \$540,432 to \$317,691.
- On the ROPS 19-20 form, the Agency reported cash balances and activity for the period July 1, 2016 through June 30, 2017 (ROPS 16-17). According to our review, the Agency has approximately \$49,007 from Reserve Balances available to fund enforceable obligations on the ROPS 19-20. HSC section 34177 (l) (1) (E) requires these balances to be used prior to requesting RPTTF. Therefore, the funding source for the following item has been reclassified in the amount specified below:

Item No.	Item Name/Project Name	Total Funding Authorized	RPTTF Approved	Reserve Balances Approved
2	2006 Tax Allocation Bonds	\$2,422,105	\$803,241	\$1,618,864*

\* The total Reserve Balances approved equals \$1,569,857 originally requested plus \$49,007 found to be available as a result of Finance's review of the Agency's cash balances.

- The administrative costs claimed are within the fiscal year administrative cap pursuant to HSC section 34171 (b) (3). However, Finance notes the Oversight Board (OB) has approved an amount that appears excessive, given the number and nature of the obligations listed on the ROPS. HSC section 34179 (i) requires the OB to exercise a fiduciary duty to the taxing entities. Therefore, Finance encourages the OB to apply adequate oversight when evaluating the administrative resources necessary to successfully wind-down the Agency.

Pursuant to HSC section 34186, successor agencies are required to report differences between actual payments and past estimated obligations. Reported differences in RPTTF are used to offset current RPTTF distributions. The amount of RPTTF approved in the table on Page 3 includes the prior period adjustment resulting from the County Auditor-Controller's review of the prior period adjustment form submitted by the Agency.

Except for the item adjusted, Finance is not objecting to the remaining items listed on the ROPS 19-20. If the Agency disagrees with our determination with respect to any items on the ROPS 19-20, except items which are the subject of litigation disputing our previous or related determinations, the Agency may request a Meet and Confer within five business days of the date of this letter. The Meet and Confer process and guidelines are available on our website:

[http://dof.ca.gov/Programs/Redevelopment/Meet And Confer/](http://dof.ca.gov/Programs/Redevelopment/Meet_And_Confer/)

The Agency's maximum approved RPTTF distribution for the reporting period is \$3,140,101 as summarized in the Approved RPTTF Distribution table on Page 3 (see Attachment).

RPTTF distributions occur biannually, one distribution for the July 1 through December 31 period (ROPS A period), and one distribution for the January 1 through June 30 period (ROPS B period) based on Finance approved amounts. Since this determination is for the entire ROPS 19-20 period, the Agency is authorized to receive up to the maximum approved RPTTF through the combined ROPS A and B period distributions.

Absent a Meet and Confer, this is our final determination regarding the obligations listed on the ROPS 19-20. This determination only applies to items when funding was requested for the 12-month period. If a denial by Finance in a previous ROPS is currently the subject of litigation, the item will continue to be denied until the matter is resolved.

The ROPS 19-20 form submitted by the Agency and this determination letter will be posted on our website:


<http://dof.ca.gov/Programs/Redevelopment/ROPS/>

This determination is effective for the ROPS 19-20 period only and should not be conclusively relied upon for future ROPS periods. All items listed on a future ROPS are subject to review and may be denied even if not denied on this ROPS or a preceding ROPS. The only exception is for items that have received a Final and Conclusive determination from Finance pursuant to HSC section 34177.5 (i). Finance's review of Final and Conclusive items is limited to confirming the scheduled payments as required by the obligation.

The amount available from RPTTF is the same as the amount of property tax increment available prior to the enactment of redevelopment dissolution law. Therefore, as a practical matter, the ability to fund the items on the ROPS with property tax increment is limited to the amount of funding available to the Agency in RPTTF.

Please direct inquiries to Joshua Mortimer, Supervisor, or Erika Santiago, Lead Analyst, at (916) 322-2985.

Sincerely,



JENNIFER WHITAKER  
Program Budget Manager

cc: Ms. Suzanne Dean, Finance Director, City of Ceres  
Mr. Kashmir Gill, Auditor-Controller, Stanislaus County

### Attachment

Approved RPTTF Distribution For the period of July 1, 2019 through June 30, 2020			
	ROPS A Period	ROPS B Period	ROPS 19-20 Total
RPTTF Requested	\$ 845,432	\$ 2,508,008	\$ 3,353,440
Administrative RPTTF Requested	125,000	125,000	250,000
<b>Total RPTTF Requested</b>	<b>970,432</b>	<b>2,633,008</b>	<b>3,603,440</b>
<b>RPTTF Requested</b>	<b>845,432</b>	<b>2,508,008</b>	<b>3,353,440</b>
<u>Adjustment</u>			
Item No. 2 **	(271,748)	0	(271,748)
<b>RPTTF Authorized</b>	<b>573,684</b>	<b>2,508,008</b>	<b>3,081,692</b>
<b>Administrative RPTTF Authorized</b>	<b>125,000</b>	<b>125,000</b>	<b>250,000</b>
<b>Total RPTTF Authorized for Obligations</b>	<b>698,684</b>	<b>2,633,008</b>	<b>3,331,692</b>
Prior Period Adjustment	(191,591)	0	(191,591)
<b>Total RPTTF Approved for Distribution</b>	<b>\$ 507,093</b>	<b>\$ 2,633,008</b>	<b>\$ 3,140,101</b>

\*\* Item No. 2 total adjustment of \$271,748 includes \$222,741 to accurately reflect the Debt Service Reserve requirement and \$49,007 based on a review of the Agency's cash balances.